



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/538,172

06/09/2005

Stephane Rimaux

052598

1706

29980

7590

06/26/2007

NICOLAS E. SECKEL

Patent Attorney

1250 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 700

WASHINGTON, DC 20036

EXAMINER

GOLDFARB, JONATHAN A

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3663

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

06/26/2007

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/538,172	<b>Applicant(s)</b> RIMAUX, STEPHANE	
	<b>Examiner</b> Jonathan Goldfarb	<b>Art Unit</b> 3663	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Jonathan Goldfarb. (3)\_\_\_\_\_

(2) Nicolas E. Seckel. (4)\_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 14 June 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: 4,704,683 and 4,836,056.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

JACK KEITH  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

  
AU3663  
Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussion and clarification of the invention and areas of concern were covered. Declaration and claim priority were acknowledged as errors to withdraw. Fig. 2 was explained in detail along with all relevant claim terms, and their intended adjustments (mean variation L'. Rejections on 101, 112 were discussed in brief, such as need to explain configuration and scope or even quantify variable terms. The applicant's representative spent a majority of the interview explaining Fig. 2 and the distinctions between his invention and the prior art used in the First Action rejection; especially that his method has a non-zero slope in permanent mode) The applicant is reducing CVT efficiency to increase driver comfort.